Centenary Tribute: Uttam Kumar

Krishna Urvashi

Who is the Hero? Uttam Kumar, Stardom and Performance of the Self in Satyajit Ray's *Nayak*

Abstract

Satyajit Ray's 1966 film, *Nayak*, explores the complications of stardom as a performance where the hero's image conceals personal uncertainties. Uttam Kumar plays Arindam Mukherjee, a celebrated Bengali film star travelling by train to receive an award. Despite his confident public image, Arindam's private self reveals anxiety, guilt, insecurity and vulnerability. The film examines the boundary between the star and the fictional star, interrogating celebrity and identity. This article analyses how *Nayak* constructs and unsettles the image of the cinematic hero through performance, narrative and visual language. It considers Uttam Kumar's established Bengali star persona and Ray's casting decision, focusing on the actor's face, body, gestures, silences and affective expression as important sites of meaning. The film also explores Arindam's public image, private memories, fears and compromises during conversations with Aditi Sengupta, a journalist travelling in the same train played beautifully by Sharmila Tagore in the movie. Through close attention to performance and cinematic form, the article reveals how Ray transforms the familiar image of the star into a site of psychological and anthropological inquiry, ultimately subverting the conventional distinction between the hero and the human being.

Introduction

What defines a hero? The image projected on screen, the admiration of audiences or the individual behind that image? Cinema transforms performers into larger-than-life figures, creating a relationship in which spectators recognise the star's face, voice and gestures while knowing them mainly through their screen image. Satyajit Ray's *Nayak (1966)* examines this tension between the star, the performer and the private self. The film follows Arindam Mukherjee, a celebrated Bengali actor travelling by train from Calcutta to New Delhi to receive an award. During the journey, his conversations with Aditi Sengupta gradually reveal memories, professional compromises, guilt and insecurity beneath his confident public persona. Through conversations, flashbacks and dream sequences, Ray transforms the tangible journey into an exploration of Arindam's inner life. The significance of *Nayak* lies particularly in Ray's casting of Uttam Kumar, already one of the most prominent stars of Bengali cinema. Kumar brought an established history of fame, romantic roles and audience recognition to Arindam. The spectator therefore encounters two identities simultaneously: Arindam Mukherjee, the fictional film star and Uttam Kumar, the star famed beyond the narrative. This merging gives the film's exploration of celebrity an unusual complexity. Ray does not simply remove Arindam's glamorous exterior to reveal a supposedly "real" individual underneath. Instead, Uttam Kumar's performance allows charisma, insecurity, confidence, jeopardy, performance and confession to coexist. His face, body and gestures become sites through which the film examines the stress of maintaining a public image.

Through the relationship between Uttam Kumar and Arindam Mukherjee, *Nayak* ultimately questions the construction of cinematic heroism itself. The "hero" acts as a cinematic role, a public identity and a human being who cannot be completely contained within either. The question *"Who is the Hero?"* becomes an inquiry into what remains when the certainty of the heroic image begins to break down.

Uttam Kumar and the Making of the Bengali Star Persona

By the 1960s, Uttam Kumar had moved beyond being a successful actor to become a recognisable star in Bengali cinema. His partnership with Suchitra Sen played a key role in this transformation. Films like *Agni Pariksha* (1954), *Sagarika* (1956), *Harano Sur* (1957) and *Saptapadi* (1961) strengthened his image as a romantic hero whose persona surpassed individual films and became established in Bengali popular culture. His appeal transcended romance. Uttam Kumar embodied a modern masculinity characterised by charm, emotional depth and elegance rather than conventional displays of physical dominance. His smile, voice, controlled gestures and natural ease on screen created a connection with the audience while maintaining his star status. As Mayukh Sen notes, even Kumar's apparently ordinary gestures could acquire a romantic quality. Consequently, his face and mannerisms conveyed deeper meanings beyond the characters he portrayed.

This distinction between actor and star is crucial. While an actor performs different

characters, a star carries an accumulated screen identity from one film to another. Audiences watching Uttam Kumar encountered both the fictional character and the familiar qualities associated with "Uttam Kumar." His established persona consequently shaped audience expectations before a particular narrative even began. This made Satyajit Ray's collaboration with him in *Nayak* particularly significant. Ray recognised beneath Kumar's popular romantic image an actor capable of slight gestures and rapid emotional shifts. By 1966, Ray didn't need to establish Arindam Mukherjee's credibility as a matinee idol solely through the narrative; Uttam Kumar's existing celebrity simply accompanied him into the film.

Nayak could therefore begin with an already constructed heroic image and gradually place it under scrutiny. Uttam Kumar becomes simultaneously star, actor and the body through which Arindam exists. His established persona provides Ray with the central tension of the film: *What remains of the hero when the heroic image is opened from within?*

The Significance of Casting Uttam Kumar in Nayak

Uttam Kumar's presence in Satyajit Ray's *Nayak* is fundamental to the film's meaning. Arindam Mukherjee is introduced as a celebrated Bengali actor whose face immediately attracts attention. Ray needed an actor who did not have to imitate stardom because he already possessed it. At the height of his popularity, Uttam Kumar brought an established cultural identity to Arindam, enabling audiences to accept the character's celebrity almost instantly. Sharmila Tagore later observed that Kumar's walk, gestures and even his manner of lighting a cigarette communicated the presence of a star. This creates an important ambiguity. When passengers recognise Arindam or ask for his autograph, they respond to a fictional celebrity while the audience simultaneously recognises Uttam Kumar as a real one. Ray uses this overlap itself to investigate stardom from within. The confidence and charisma associated with Kumar establish Arindam's public image, while his conversations, memories and visions gradually reveal insecurity, guilt, ambition and fear beneath it. Ray therefore uses Uttam Kumar's stardom to question what stardom conceals.

Equally important was Kumar's performing ability. Ray admired his spontaneous gestures and slight emotional shifts. Modest actions like signing an autograph, smoking or responding naturally to admirers make Arindam's celebrity seem habitual rather than being staged. Kumar brings a bodily familiarity with stardom; celebrity becomes visible through movement, gesture and behaviour. Ray's camera simultaneously places this familiar star image under scrutiny. Close-ups and minimal makeup allow Kumar's face to register fatigue, hesitation and susceptibility rather than functioning merely as an idealised image. The star persona is therefore not rejected but examined. If Arindam had been played by an actor without a star identity, *Nayak* would have lost much of the tension between fictional and real celebrity. Ray takes a man already accepted by Bengali audiences as a hero and uses that recognition to examine the individual behind the image. Uttam

Kumar's casting is precisely this paradox: the stronger the star image, the more powerful its instances of doubt become.

Nayak and the deconstruction of stardom

In *Nayak*, stardom acts as a prerequisite for visibility. Arindam Mukherjee is recognised by passengers, approached by admirers and discussed in newspapers. He represents the classic markers of success, fame, wealth and professional acclaim but Ray gradually transforms this glamorous façade into a more profound analysis of the anxieties and compromises that lie beneath. The train is crucial to this process. Travelling from Calcutta to Delhi to receive an award, Arindam is temporarily removed from the controlled environment of the film industry and placed within a confined social space. The tangible journey gradually becomes psychological as conversations, recollections and visions force him to address aspects of his life that contradict his public success.

His celebrity also makes him vulnerable to public judgement. A newspaper report about his recent altercation demonstrates how fame gives Arindam visibility while reducing his control over his own image. His anxiety about the possible failure of his latest film further exposes the instability of stardom: popularity appears secure to admirers but remains dependent upon continued success. Aditi Sengupta becomes central to Arindam's self-examination. Unlike his admirers, she initially approaches him with scepticism. Their interview gradually develops into a more personal exchange in which Arindam confronts his past. His memories of Shankar-da reveal tensions between artistic ideals and commercial success, while Mukunda Lahiri's decline reminds him of the passing nature of fame. His refusal to support his politically committed friend Biresh further exposes the compromises involved in protecting his public position. These anxieties find their strongest visual expression in Arindam's surreal dream of money. What begins as an image of wealth and achievement becomes threatening as he sinks into the very material associated with his success. The dream transforms stardom from triumph into entrapment, visualising fears that Arindam cannot openly express.

As the journey progresses, alcohol and sleeping pills weaken his composure and his emotional crisis culminates in despair and confession. Yet Ray does not suggest that the public Arindam is simply false while the private Arindam is real; both belong to the same individual. Stardom has become part of his identity even as its pressures threaten him. By the end of the journey, Arindam returns to public life with his celebrity largely intact. What has changed is the spectator's understanding of him. *Nayak* therefore does not expose stardom merely as an illusion; it presents it as simultaneously desirable, restrictive and psychologically demanding. Ray deconstructs the hero not by destroying his image, but by revealing the complicated human being who must continue to live within it.

Uttam Kumar / Arindam Mukherjee: Star and Character Legacy

One of the most notable elements of *Nayak* is the uncertain boundary between Uttam Kumar, the real Bengali star and Arindam Mukherjee, Ray's fictional star. Arindam

should not be interpreted as a representation of Uttam Kumar's personal life; his memories, relationships and moral conflicts belong to a fictional character. Yet casting one of Bengal's most recognisable stars as a celebrated screen idol makes it impossible for audiences to separate actor and character completely. This produces a form of double recognition. Within the film, passengers recognise Arindam; outside it, the spectators recognise Uttam Kumar. Arindam's celebrity therefore requires little explanation because Kumar's existing star image already gives the character credibility. Ray uses this familiarity while simultaneously creating a fictional private history that audiences cannot associate directly with Kumar himself.

The relationship between actor and character is therefore better understood as reflection rather than imitation. Arindam reflects the experience and cultural position of a major film star without becoming Uttam Kumar's biographical equivalent. Kumar, in turn, lends Arindam the accumulated meanings of his own cinematic presence. The fictional star gains the authenticity of real celebrity, while the real star becomes the means through which celebrity itself can be examined.

This overlap itself too complicates the distinction between performance and identity. Arindam's public behaviour has become so habitual that it is difficult to determine where the performance of celebrity ends and the individual begins. The public star is not simply a false mask concealing a "real" private man; both identities coexist.

Nayak therefore neither merges Uttam Kumar and Arindam Mukherjee nor separates them completely. Uttam Kumar is not Arindam, yet Arindam would not carry the same cultural meaning without him. Their relationship exists in the tension between actor and character, reality and fiction, star image and individual identity. Through this tension, Ray turns the familiar figure of the Bengali hero into a larger question: how much can an audience truly know about the person behind a star?

Performing Vulnerability: The Hero Behind the Image

The emotional movement of *Nayak* depends upon the gradual emergence of endangered Arindam Mukherjee's confident star persona. Satyajit Ray does not present his public identity as false and his private self as true. Instead, Uttam Kumar's performance allows confidence and insecurity to coexist, with helplessness appearing amid subtle disruptions in Arindam's composure. Arindam is accustomed to being watched and has learned how to behave as a celebrity even outside the film set. His smile, controlled manner and ease with admirers suggest that performing has become part of his everyday identity. The distinction between acting and simply being Arindam therefore becomes increasingly uncertain.

His conversations with Aditi gradually disturb this control. Because she approaches him with neither unquestioning admiration nor immediate judgement, their interview develops into a confession and self-examination. Kumar communicates this transition largely through restraint: pauses, lowered eyes, changes in expression, shifts in posture and moments of silence reveal emotions that Arindam cannot easily articulate. His vulnerability is powerful

precisely because his familiar charisma never disappears completely.

Alcohol gradually weakens this restraint. As the journey progresses, Arindam becomes less capable of controlling what he reveals, eventually reaching a moment of acute emotional despair. The celebrated hero who appears confident before his audience is shown struggling to manage his own fear and loneliness. Yet jeopardy does not expose Arindam's public character as mere deception. His confidence is as genuine as a part of him as his insecurity; ambition coexists with guilt and charm with loneliness. Uttam Kumar's achievement lies in sustaining these contradictions without dividing Arindam into a false public star and an authentic private man.

Nayak therefore does not simply remove the hero's mask to reveal the ordinary man underneath. This demonstrates that the hero and the helpless human being are the same person. Arindam's insecurity exists not outside his stardom but within it, confounding the very idea of what a cinematic hero is.

Camera, Body and Face: The Cinematic Construction of the Star

In *Nayak*, stardom is constructed not only through narrative and performance but also through the act of looking. Working with cinematographer Subrata Mitra, Satyajit Ray turns Uttam Kumar's familiar face and body into visual spaces through which Arindam Mukherjee's confidence and isolation are explored. The face is notably significant because Uttam Kumar's stardom had already made it instantly recognisable to Bengali audiences. Rather than presenting it only as an idealised star image, Ray uses revealing close-ups and relatively minimal makeup. Film scholar Meheli Sen has noted how this treatment allows physical details and imperfections to remain visible. The close-up therefore performs a double function: it reinforces Kumar's importance as a star while exposing the human detail behind the polished image. Ray's camera frequently registers small changes in Arindam's expression- hesitation, fatigue, discomfort or uncertainty. His face becomes a surface upon which the tension between public confidence and private anxiety can be observed. Similarly, changes in posture, stillness and bodily movement gradually complicate the controlled physical presence associated with the celebrity.

The confined space of the train strengthens this visual strategy. Narrow compartments, corridors and dining spaces restrict Arindam's movement and repeatedly place him in close proximity to others. Instead of presenting the star within expansive spaces associated with freedom and glamour, Ray visually contains him. The train thus operates as both a physical setting and a means of intensifying the scrutiny directed towards him. The conversations between Arindam and Aditi progressively transform looking into a form of inquiry. Aditi observes rather than admires him, while Ray's camera concentrates on faces and reactions. The star who is accustomed to being looked at becomes someone whose expressions are carefully examined. Ray uses the fundamental visual materials of stardom: the face, body, close-up, space and gaze to question the image they ordinarily construct. The closer the camera approaches Uttam Kumar, the less complete the heroic image appears. The

recognizable face of the star remains visible, but it increasingly becomes the face of a vulnerable and psychologically complex human being.

The Audience and the Double Identity of the Star

A star cannot exist without an audience. Stardom depends upon spectators who recognise a face, attach meanings to it and develop a sense of familiarity with someone they may never personally know. *Nayak* places this process of spectatorship within the narrative itself. Arindam Mukherjee is surrounded by passengers who already possess ideas about him through his films, publicity and celebrity image. The train functions as a miniature audience. Some passengers admire Arindam, others approach him with inquisitiveness, while some stay critical of popular cinema. Their different responses demonstrate that a star does not possess one fixed public identity; spectators continually construct different versions of him. His fame gives him privilege and attention, but it also limits his anonymity and control over how he is perceived.

Nayak therefore establishes an important distinction between recognition and knowledge. The passengers recognise Arindam's face and believe they know the celebrity, but they know a mediated public image primarily. His memories, fears and insecurities remain inaccessible to them. The star can consequently be publicly familiar while remaining personally unknown. Aditi occupies a distinctive position within this structure. She initially approaches Arindam as a journalist and potential interview subject rather than as an admirer. Gradually, she moves from observing the celebrity to listening to the individual. Her logbook symbolises the possibility that Arindam's private experiences could become another public version of the star. By eventually destroying her notes, Aditi refuses to transform his vulnerability into material for public consumption.

The film also creates a parallel between its fictional and actual audiences. Within *Nayak*, passengers look at Arindam Mukherjee; outside it, spectators look at Uttam Kumar. The audience is therefore not solely observing celebrity culture but participating in the same process of recognition that the film examines. At the same time, viewers are given access to Arindam's private memories and anxieties that his fictional admirers never receive. Stardom in *Nayak* thus creates a paradoxical form of intimacy at a distance: audiences may know a star's face, voice and gestures intimately while knowing little about the individual behind them. Ray ultimately turns the gaze back upon the spectator. The question becomes not only "Who is the hero?", but also who creates the hero and how much can an audience ever truly know the person it has changed into a star?

Conclusion: from hero to human being

Satyajit Ray's *Nayak* transforms the familiar image of the cinematic hero into an study of the human being who must live within that image. Arindam Mukherjee possesses fame, admiration and professional success, yet his journey reveals the insecurity, guilt, loneliness and conflicts that stardom cannot conceal. Uttam Kumar's casting gives this probe its distinctive force. As one of the Bengali cinema's most recognisable stars, he

brings an existing history of fame and spectatorship to the fictional Arindam. Yet Arindam is not a representation of Uttam Kumar's personal life. Rather, Ray uses the relationship between a real star and a fictional star to examine how celebrity shapes identity, behaviour and the audience's perception of an individual.

Nayak ultimately refuses a simple division between the glamorous public hero and the "real" person underneath. Arindam's confidence, vulnerability, ambition, guilt, performance and selfhood coexist. Stardom is not simply a mask he can remove; it has become part of who he is. The title *Nayak* therefore remains deliberately ambiguous. The hero is simultaneously a performer, a public image and a helpless individual observed and interpreted by others. Ray does not destroy the heroic image but reveals its incompleteness. The question "Who is the Hero?" is answered not by choosing between Uttam Kumar, Arindam Mukherjee or the man behind the star, but by recognising their complex coexistence.

Ultimately, *Nayak* moves from hero to human being without separating one from the other. Its perpetual insight is that no public image is powerful or celebrated enough to contain the entirety of a person.

References

- Criterion Collection. "A Closer Look at Bengali Superstar Uttam Kumar." *The Criterion Collection*, 26 February 2018. A Closer Look at Bengali Superstar Uttam Kumar
- Criterion Collection. *The Hero*. Directed by Satyajit Ray, 1966. Film information and critical materials, *The Criterion Collection*. The Hero: Criterion Collection
- Director: Satyajit Ray, film: *Nayak (The Hero)*. Performances by Uttam Kumar and Sharmila Tagore. R.D. Bansal Productions, 1966.
- Andrew Robinson. *Satyajit Ray: The Inner Eye: The Biography of a Master Film-Maker*. 3rd ed., Bloomsbury Academic, 2021. See Chapter 16, "Nayak (The Hero) 1966." Satyajit Ray: The Inner Eye: Bloomsbury Academic
- Satyajit Ray Org. "Nayak (The Hero)." *Satyajit Ray Org*. Nayak (The Hero): Satyajit Ray Org
- Mayukh Sen "The Money Pit: Uttam Kumar in *The Hero*." *The Criterion Collection*, 9 September 2020. The Money Pit: Uttam Kumar in The Hero
- "Meheli Sen on *The Hero*." Interview produced for *The Criterion Collection*, 2017. Available as a supplement to *The Hero*. Meheli Sen on The Hero: Criterion Channel
- "Sharmila Tagore on *The Hero*." Interview with Nasreen Munni Kabir, 2008. Available as a supplement to *The Hero*, Criterion Collection. Sharmila Tagore on The Hero: Criterion Channel

Krishna Urvashi, BVA (Digital Filmmaking) currently pursuing M.Sc. in Cinema the Asian Academy of Film and Television, Noida.